

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/788,635	KUO ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NEAL R. SEREBOFF	3626	

All Participants:

(1) NEAL R. SEREBOFF.

(2) Jason Lorfing.

Date of Interview: 24 February 2011

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____.

Status of Application: allowance

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

A series of discussions occurred over a period of months to move the application to allowance. Cited prior art was discussed in relation to claims and amendments made. Further changes were made to clarify the claims and eliminate potential 101/112 issues. The result of the discussion is the allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

//Neal R Sereboff//
Examiner, Art Unit 3626

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)